

Oral Exit Report Quality Assurance Review Team District Accreditation – Kentucky TECH



David Billingsley - Executive Director
Office of Career and Technical Education

Council on Accreditation and School Improvement © 2005 Southern Association of Colleges and Schools

What does district accreditation involve?

- The capacity of a school system to address three major elements. As such, a school system must:Meet standards for accreditation;

 - Demonstrate a systemic and continuous process of improvement; and
 - Implement methods that provide for quality assurance. orce Investment



Role and Responsibilities Quality Assurance Review

- Assess the capacity of the system to meet the standards;
- Assess the efficacy and impact of the improvement process;
- Assess the effectiveness of the system's methods for quality assurance;
- Identify strengths of the system deserving of commendation; and
- Develop recommendations which are designed to help strengthen the school system.



Procedures Followed Quality Assurance Review

The Quality Assurance Review Team

- Reviewed documentation provided by the school system;
- Developed points of inquiry for the on-site review;
- Established, in collaboration with district personnel, a schedule of activities and interactions that would provide for significant stakeholder involvement;
- Conducted interviews of a representative set of stakeholders throughout the state; and
- Engaged in professional deliberations as a team to consider the capacity of the school system to meet the requirements for district accreditation.



Interviews with Stakeholders

During the on-site Quality Assurance Review, team members interviewed:

The Secretary of Education

The Commissioner of Workforce Investment

The Executive Director

The Deputy Executive Director

- 10 Branch Supervisors
 - 4 Area Supervisors
- 10 Curriculum Team Members
- 11 Administrative Support Staff
- 13 Local Superintendents/Asst. Superintendents
- 53 Principals
- 87 Teachers
- 152 Students orce Investment
- 100 Stakeholders

444 Subtotal



Interviews with Stakeholders

During the on-site Quality Assurance Review, team members interviewed: (continued)

nically Speaking

444 Subtotal

- 1 Senator
- 1 State Representative
- 1 Judge Executive
- 2 Mayors
- 1 County Judge
- 1 Magistrate
- 3 School Board Members
- 10 Subtotal

454 Total Persons Interviewed

12 Schools visited on September 12, 2006 2000 miles traveled



Evidential Process

- In considering the evidence as provided through data, documentation, observation, and dialogue, the Quality Assurance Team
 - Sought a district perspective;
 - Pursued evidence that could be correlated through multiple sources;
 - Examined the context and capacity of the school system in relation to its vision, mission, and beliefs; and orkforce Investment
 - Applied the criteria for accreditation.



Summary of our Observations

- Strengths...conditions that exist which benefit the school district.
- Achievements...recent accomplishments achieved by the school district.
- Commendations...professional commendations by the Quality Assurance Review Team.
- Limitations...conditions that exist which are potential barriers.
- Challenges...opportunities that the district may seek to address for further improvement.
- Recommendations...professional recommendations by the Quality Assurance Review Team.



Strengths

- Principal's Advisory Council
- Standardized Curriculum Initiatives
- High Functioning Student Organizations
- Program Assessment/Data Driven
- Kentucky Occupational Skill Standards for Assessment
- Flexibility: Investment



Strengths

- Industry Certified/Credentialed Staff
- Willingness to Work Toward Integrating Academics into Technical Courses
- High Levels of Collaboration Between and Among Staff
- Support for Professional Development
- Curriculum Alignment with KCTCS
- Application of Skills



Accomplishments

- Articulation Agreements/Dual Credit-KCTCS
- Over 4000 Students Earned Dual Credit Last Year
- Viable Student Organizations in All Schools
- Industry Certifications ASE, AWS, Etc.
- Student Performance in Student Organizations



Commendations

- The Quality Assurance Review Team Commends Kentucky TECH for:
 - The development of a lesson plan data base for all technical programs
 - The initiative to develop end-of-course assessments for all technical programs
 - The development of a best practices network
 - The flexibility to work with multiple district calendars and schedules
 - The creation of an Exemplary District Continuous Improvement Plan



Commendations

- The Quality Assurance Review Team Commends Kentucky TECH for:
 - Active Stakeholder Advisory Councils
 - A focus on meeting the needs of local business and industry
 - Planning regional cluster forums to gather input from stakeholders
 - A learning community of 55 principals supported by Kentucky TECH



Limitations

- Aging Facilities
- Bandwidth Access
- Purchasing Procedures peaking
- Hiring Procedures
- Geography and Transportation
- Program/Employment Caps

Workforce Investment



Challenges

- Improving the Image and Perception of Technical Education
- Integrating Academic Instruction into the Technical Education Curriculum
- Work-Based Learning Laws and Regulations

Workforce Investment



Challenges

- Adapt to Multiple Calendars and Schedules
- Provide Career Counseling Services
- Increase Support Services for Special Needs Students





Recommendations

The Quality Assurance Review Team recommends that Kentucky TECH:

Request Cap Increases To Support:

Career Counselors Mically Deaking

Special Needs Liaisons

New Program Initiatives

- Revise Purchasing and Hiring Practices to Avoid Delays
- Require Annual Contracts for All Staff
- Create Advisory Council/Group at the District Level
- Integrate Academic Opportunities



Next Steps

- A written report of our findings and observations will be drafted and sent to the Executive Director within 30 days.
- After receipt of the report, the district is expected to
 - Review and communicate the findings and observations contained in the report;
 - Initiate steps to address the recommendations in the report;
 - Continue to monitor accreditation requirements for the system and its schools;
 - Monitor the execution and impact of strategies for improvement;
 and workforce investment
 - Continue to engage methods that provide for quality assurance.
 - Report to SACS CASI on progress in two years.



Final Recommendation

- The Quality Assurance Review Team recommends, without reservation, to the SACS CASI Board of Directors that Kentucky TECH be awarded district accreditation as a quality school system.
- Once approved, Kentucky TECH and all its schools are thereby accredited.



Final Thoughts

- On behalf of the Quality Assurance Team, I extend:
 - Our appreciation for your hospitality, support, and professionalism;
 - Our respect and acknowledgement of the quality of Kentucky TECH; and
 - Our congratulations for achieving district accreditation as a quality system.

Workforce Investment



Kentucky TECH "Words of Wisdom"

- "We are not just state employees, we are educators and our product is our students."
- "Give us standards and we will measure up to them."
- "CTE is a hidden goldmine with an emerging light."
- "We have the strongest support staff of any organization."



Kentucky TECH "Words of Wisdom"

- "School improvement is a journey."
- "Program Assessment means going beyond the classroom everyday."
- "We have plans. We just need to carry them out."
- "We build leaders for tomorrow!"
- "Our teachers are here to help us grow."
- "We actually do things you need in life instead of just taking notes over at the other school."



...helping schools and school systems improve student learning through accreditation







